Atty's Docket No. 4452-575 Appl. No. 10/723,555 Amdt. dated July 8, 2005 Reply to Office Action of February 8, 2005

Amendments to the Drawings:

The attached sheet of drawing includes changes to Fig. 1. This sheet replaces the original sheet for Fig. 1. In this amended drawing, labeling were added to illustrate the prime mover and gear box elements.

Claims 1-11 and 13 are pending, with claims 1 and 11 being the independent claims. All

pending claims have been amended. Claim 12 has been canceled, without prejudice.

The Examiner objected to the drawings because elements including a prime mover and a

gearbox which are recited in the pending claims were not shown. The drawings have been

corrected to illustrate these elements. Withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

The invention relates to a torque transmission system configured in a compact manner.

The transmission system is configured to have its input and output shafts extend laterally from

the longitudinal axis of a motor vehicle in the same direction. Accordingly, a prime mover and a

gearbox are also located next to one another one the same side relative to the longitudinal axis.

Claims 1-3, 7, 8, 11 and 13 stand rejected under 35 U.S. C. §102(b) as anticipated by

Nagano.

Independent Claims 1 and 11 have been amended to recite "the input and at least one

output shafts extending laterally from longitudinal axis in a same lateral direction so that the

prime mover and the gearbox located next to one another."

Nagano shows input and output shafts extending in opposite lateral directions relative to

a longitudinal axis. Accordingly, Nagano does not have all of the recited elements and is not

anticipatory of claim 1.

Claims 2, 3, 7 and 8 depend from claim 1 and are not anticipated by Nagano either.

Withdrawal of the §102 rejection of claims 1-3, 7, 8 11 and 13 is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-13 stand rejected under 35 U.S. C. §102(b) as anticipated by Hata.

8

Atty's Docket No. 4452-575 Appl. No. 10/723,555

Amdt. dated July 8, 2005

Reply to Office Action of February 8, 2005

Hata, like Nagano, does not have input and output shafts extending in the same lateral

direction, as recited in amended claims 1 and 11. As a consequence, Hata does not anticipate

amended claims 1 and 11 along with claims depending from these independent claims.

Withdrawal of the §102 rejection of claims 1-11 and 13 is respectfully requested.

Based on all of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is now

in proper condition for allowance. Prompt and favorable action to this effect and early passing of

this application to issue are respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE

Thomas C. Pontani

Reg. No. 29,763

551 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1210

New York, New York 10176

(212) 687-2770

Dated: July 8, 2005

9